

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,151	06/20/2001	Andrew Rouse	23452-133	23452-133 5196	
909	7590 04/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			ELAHEI	ELAHEE, MD S	
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, '			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2645		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/885,151	ROUSE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Md S Elahee	2645	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Md S Elahee	2645						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> </ol>	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic vhich places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the sunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate Was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i E below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	iiovabio ii obbiiiiiod iii a oopai alo,	·	oaoog uo					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34,36-45 and 47-62</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a					
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	-		•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>			nce because:					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
3. ☐ Other:								
		FAN TSANG						
		DRY PATENT EXAMIN	IER					
	SUPERVISI TECHNI	OLOGY CENTER 2600	)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1, 9, 17, 25 and 53, applicant's argues in page 13, lines 12 and 13 'Gilhuly is silent regarding the user's ability to select fields for displaying the data items'. Examiner disagrees with the argument. The applicant didn't claim the limitation. Gilhuly teaches that user selects item [i.e., form] for displaying the content of the item (see col.2, lines 34-51, col.12, lines 12-18, 33-46). Thus the rejection of the claims in view of Gilhuly will remain.

Regarding claim 63, the applicant argues on page 14, lines 9-15 'the action property includes one of a Mail To property and a Dial Phone property'. The examiner disagrees with this argument. Wagner teaches that the selection option includes Go option or send option [i.e., one of a Mail TO property and a Dial Phone property (col.5, lines 21-47). Thus the rejection of the claim in view of Gilhuly and Wagner will remain.